KEITH SHIGETOMI # 3380 Pacific Park Plaza, Suite 1440 711 Kapiolani Boulevard Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 Telephone: (808) 596-0880

MARK BARRETT # 557 P.O. BOX 896 Norman, Oklahoma 73070 Telephone: (405)364-8367

Attorneys for Petitioner

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

'I'ARYN	CHRISTIAN,)	CIV. NO. 04-00/43 DAE-LEK
	Petitioner,)))	PETITIONER TARYN CHRISTIAN'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO DAVELYNN TENGAN, ESQ
	vs.)	
Direct HAWAI	RD BISSEN, Acting cor, STATE OF I DEPARTMENT OF C SAFETY,)))))	
	Respondents.)	
		j	

PETITIONER TARYN CHRISTIAN'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO DAVELYNN TENGAN

TO: DAVELYNN TENGAN, ESQ
C/O PETER A. HANANO
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
County of Maui
Wailuku, Maui, Hawaii 96793
Telephone No. (808) 243-7630
Fax No. (808) 270-7927

Attorney for Respondent

Petitioner Taryn Christian, by and through his undersigned attorneys Keith Shigetomi and Mark Barrett, hereby request that DAVELYNN TENGAN, ESQ admit, within thirty (30) days of service and in accordance with Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the truth of the matters set forth herein. This request is made pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 6 of the Rules governing Habeas Corpus.

INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 6 of Federal Habeas Corpus Statute 28 U.S.C. Section 2254 allows a party to serve on any other party a request to admit the truth of any matter within the scope of Rule 26(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that relates to statements or opinions of fact or application of law to fact.
- 2. You are requested to admit the matters set forth herein. If any matter cannot be admitted, then it shall be specifically denied or you are requested to set forth

in detail why you cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. A denial must fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when in good faith requires that a party qualify his answer or deny only a part of the matter of which an admission is requested, he shall specify so much as is true and qualify or deny the remainder.

3. An answering party may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless he states he has made reasonable inquires and that the information known or readily obtainable by him is insufficient to enable him to admit or deny. A party who considers that a matter of which an admission has been requested presents a genuine issue may not, on that ground alone, object to the request he may, subject to provisions of Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, deny the matter or set forth reasons why he cannot admit or deny it.

4. You are advised that the party who has requested the admissions may move to determine the sufficiency of the answers or objections. Unless the court determines that an objection is justified, it shall order that an answer be served. If the court determines that an answer does not comply with the requirements of Rule 36, it may order either the matter be admitted or that an amended answer be served.

DATED:	Honolulu,	Hawaii,, 2006.
		KEITH SHIGETOMI
		Attorney at Law

FIRST SET OF ADMISSIONS

1. Admit that you were assign to prosecute the matter of Sta Christian, Criminal Number 95-	te of Hawaii vs. Taryn	
ADMIT	DENY	
2. Admit that you provided to defense in discovery a document entitled synopsis of arrest without warrant prepared by Detective Antonio Funes ("Funes") dated August 17, 1995, in which Funes stated that Taryn Chiristian in a tape recorded conversation with Lisa Kimmey, admitted to being the person responsible in the stabbing of Vilmar Cabaccang.		
ADMIT	DENY	
3. Admit that Funes provided to you a transcription of his interpretation of the tape recorded conversation of Taryn Christian and Lisa Kimmey.		
ADMIT	DENY	
4. Admit that in an affidavit dated February 3, 1997 in a Motion To Compel Discovery, you requested the actual tape recordings of witness interviews, in order to verify the accuracy of the transcriptions and which may also be needed at trial to impeach witnesses who's testimonies depart from the transcribed statements.		
ADMIT	DENY	

5. Admit that you compared the actual tape recorded con Christian and Lisa Kimmey and transcription's accuracy to	nversation of Taryn and were unable to verify the
ADMIT	DENY
6. Admit that you did not ha conversation of Taryn Christ a forensic audio expert.	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
ADMIT	DENY
7. Admit that you never disc to trial, a true and accurate recorded conversation of Tary Kimmey.	e transcription of the tape
ADMIT	DENY
8. Admit that you never disc to trial, a true and accurate conversation between Taryn Cl	e transcription of the taped
ADMIT	DENY
9. Admit that prior to trial this case, State's exhibit "inaccurate transcription of conversation between Taryn Cl	A", an unauthenticated and the actual tape recorded
ADMIT	DENY
10. Admit that you never disprior to trial, the evidence exculpatory statements of derresponsible for stabbing and	of Taryn Christian's nial that he was the person

11. Admit that you represented Christian in the tape recorded Kimmey confessed to being the pkilled Vilmar Cabaccang.	conversation with Lisa	
ADMIT	DENY	
12. Admit that you did not impeach Lisa Kimmey, the state's witness who's trial testimony was contradicted by Taryn Christian's statements of denial.		
ADMIT	DENY	
13. Admit that at no time in to conversation of Taryn Christian Taryn Christian confess to being and killed Vilmar Cabaccang.	n and Lisa Kimmey did	
ADMIT	DENY	
14. Admit that at no time in the tape recorded conversation of Taryn Christian and Lisa Kimmey did Taryn Christian confess to being the person who stabbed and killed Vilmar Cabaccang.		
ADMIT	DENY	
15. Admit prior to trial you never provided to thedefense Serena Seidel's initial description she gave to police, as well as Phil Schmidt's detailed description of the person he saw leaving the scene ADMIT		
16. Admit that prior to trial the defense the fingerprint evidinger's door of Vilmar Cabacca	dence recovered from	
ADMIT	DENY	

17. Admit that during trial you knowingly Serena Seidel to give perjured testimony winforming the court.		
ADMIT	DENY	
18. Admit that in September 1995, the Sta Joanne Furuya, Director of the Honolulu Cr conduct DNA testing on all items of eviden the results to the DNA of Vilmar Cabaccang	ime Lab, to ce and compare	
ADMIT	DENY	
19. Admit that in February of 1996, you failed to disclose to the defense Joanne Furuya's reported inability to analyze and blood type Vilmar Cabaccang's blood and specimen samples.		
ADMIT	DENY	
20. Admit that in November 1996, Attorney Dennis Jung apprised the state and the court that Taryn Christian had requested for independent DNA testing be conducted on the evidence.		
ADMIT	DENY	
21. Admit that on November 20 th 1996, you requested the court grant a continuance so that the state had the opportunity to complete the DNA testing in this case.		
ADMIT	DENY	
22. Admit that prior to trial you did not defense the FBI test results related to th		
ADMIT	DENY	

<u> </u>	testing conducted regarding er prints and DNA to evidence
ADMIT	DENY
	Christian was convicted, you that James Hina Burkhart has hals that he stabbed and
ADMIT	DENY

24. Admit that prior to trial you did not disclose to